

EIA SCREENING ASSESSMENT T12/488**1. Applicant Details**

Name

Address

Andrew Davis

Ballycrampsie

Malin

Co.Donegal

2. Characteristics of Project

a) Species cultivation proposed

b) Method

c) Production in intertidal zone

d) Production beyond intertidal zone

e) Source of seed/spat etc

Pacific Oysters

Bags & trestles culture

Yes

No

French Hatcheries

Yes. With the exception of Articles 3, 4 Regulation EC 708/2007 does not apply to the production of *C. gigas*.

Year 1 0 tonnes

Year 2 0 tonnes

Year 3 25 tonnes

Year 4 30 tonnes

f) Is a non-native species to be cultivated?

g) Annual Production Estimates - Tonnes

3. Location of Project

a) File No.

b) No. of sites

c) Location

d) Hectares

e) Shellfish Waters Designation

If yes, identify area as per S.I. 268 of 2006/S.I. 55 of 2009

If yes, Name of designated area - Map No. & Date of Map

f) Natura 2000 site

T12/488

1 site T12/488A

Trawbreaga Bay

1.46 ha

Yes

SI 55 of 2009

Trawbreaga Bay – Map 29 August 2008

Yes.

North Inishowen Coast SAC (Site Code 002012) and
Trawbreaga Bay SPA (Site Code 004034)**4. Development Plan**

a) County Development Plan

Yes. Donegal County Development Plan

Chapter 8. Tourism and Marine. The Council will support the sustainable development of the aquaculture sector to maximise its contribution to economic growth within the county. In particular, the Council acknowledge the development and expansion of the oyster farming industry in the county and its potential in terms of employment and product export.

2012-2018

If yes, identify relevant sections

If yes, period covered

T12/488		
EIA SCREENING CHECKLIST		
Is it an ANNEX II project?	YES	
Is the project located on or adjacent to a Natura 2000 area?	Yes The project is located within North Inishowen Coast SAC (Site Code 002012) and Trawbreaga Bay SPA (Site Code 004034)	
If yes, has the appropriate assessment been carried out?	Yes. An Article 6 assessment of aquaculture activities in North Inishowen Coast SAC and Trawbreaga SPA has been carried and published on the Department's Website	
1. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT - ANNEX III (1)	Yes/No - Briefly describe	Is this likely to result in a significant effect? Yes/No? - Why
(a) Size of project		
Will the project be out of scale with the existing environment?	No. The development 1.46 ha, is not out of scale relative to the body of coastal water within which it is located.	
Will it lead to further consequential development or works (e.g. new roads, landing facilities, provision of new water supply)?	No. Existing infrastructure will be used and no additional infrastructure developments are needed.	
(b) Cumulation with other development		
Are there potential cumulative impacts with existing aquaculture projects or with other licensed aquaculture projects but which have not yet begun?	Yes. There are other aquaculture projects in the bay involving the cultivation of oysters. There are potential navigational, and benthic impacts.	No. The impact on the benthos will be localised and limited to the area directly beneath the trestles. The overall area involved is considered small. The impact on navigation will be mitigated by appropriate navigational marking. It is not expected that there will be a significant cumulative impact on other

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> liquid or solid wastes in suspension? 		damaged old bags, trestles or other material into the sea or on the foreshore will not be permitted.
T12/488		
2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT - ANNEX III (1) cont'd	Yes/No - Briefly describe	Is this likely to result in a significant effect? Yes/No? - Why
(e) Pollution and nuisances contd.		
<p>Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic, or noxious substances to air? Emissions from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> combustion of fossil fuels from stationary or mobile sources? Construction activities including plant & equipment? any other sources. 	Yes. There will be emissions associated with husbandry and harvesting of shellfish from tractors or other machinery. There will be no release to the air of other hazardous, toxic or noxious pollutants.	No. The emissions levels will not be significant.
<p>Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> from operation of equipment e.g. engines? from blasting or piling? from construction or operational traffic? from lighting or other systems? from any other sources? 	Yes. There will be noise associated with husbandry and harvesting of shellfish from tractor and other noise.	No. Noise levels will not be significant.
(f) Risk of accidents, having regard in particular to substances or technologies used		
Will there be any risk of accidents during installation or operation of the project which could affect human health or the environment?	Yes. There may be a minor risk of physical injury while working onsite but no risk to human health.	No. There is minor risk to the environment from onsite accidents.
Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?	No. No chemicals or hazardous substances will be used during the production process.	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> loss of native species or genetic diversity? any other changes? 	not apply to the production of <i>C. Gigas</i> .	because of the short water residence time and heavy cover of macroalgae in intertidal areas. The use of triploid oyster stock will further reduce the risk. Additionally, the use of triploid stock in Trawbreaga Bay will also reduce the risk of establishment of non-native oyster species in the adjoining Lough Swilly area.
(h) Inherent social changes		
Will the project result in social changes, for example, in demography, traditional lifestyles, employment?	It is anticipated that job opportunities will result from this project.	No. The impact will be locally beneficial but not significant
T12/488		
2. LOCATION OF THE PROJECT - ANNEX III (2)	Yes/No - Briefly describe	Is this likely to result in a significant effect? Yes/No? - Why
(a) Existing land use		
Are there existing land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project, e.g. homes, gardens, other private property, industry, commerce, recreation, public open space, community facilities, agriculture, forestry, tourism, water catchments, functional floodplains, mining or quarrying?	No. Terrestrial land use will not be affected and there is no spatial overlap with other users of the inter-tidal foreshore.	
Are there any areas on or around the location which are occupied by sensitive land uses e.g. hospitals, schools, places of worship, community facilities, which could be affected?	No.	
Is the project located in a previously undeveloped area?	No. There is other shellfish production in the bay.	
(b) Relative abundance, quality and regenerative capacity of natural resources in the area		
Are there any areas on or around the location which contain important, high quality or scarce resources which could be affected by the project? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> groundwater resources surface waters fisheries 	Yes. Fisheries and Tourism	Given the location and scale of the proposed aquaculture site significant impacts on the fisheries / tourism in the area are not

sensitive species of fauna or flora e.g. for breeding, nesting, foraging, resting, overwintering, migration, which could be affected?	(004034) and North Inishowen Coast SAC (002012) The SPA is designated for non-breeding populations of Barnacle Goose and Light-bellied Brent Goose and both breeding and non-breeding elements of the Chough population	<p>Chough: The AA concluded that, taking account of the proposed scale of oyster cultivation, lack of any significant use of intertidal habitat by the Chough and the separation of oyster cultivation from known foraging, roosting or nesting sites, it is unlikely that intertidal oyster would have a negative impact on the Chough</p> <p>Barnacle Geese: The AA concluded that as Barnacle Geese do not feed on inter-tidal habitat the placement of trestles would not result in any direct loss of foraging grounds. It also found that the risk of negative impacts at access points to be low</p> <p>Light-bellied Brent Goose: The AA concluded that aquaculture activities, including this site, are not considered disturbing to Light-bellied Brent Geese taking account of the favourable conservation status of the species, large area of suitable habitat, foraging opportunities provided by green algae on trestles etc</p>
Are there any inland, coastal, marine or underground waters on or around the location which could be affected?	No.	
Are there any groundwater source protection zones or areas that contribute to the recharge of groundwater resources?	No.	
Are there any areas or features of high landscape or scenic value on or around the location which could be affected?	No.	
Are there any routes or facilities on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities, which could be	No. Public access to recreational and other activities will not be impacted by the project.	

<p>Is the location of the project susceptible to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme or adverse climatic conditions e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?</p>	<p>No.</p>	
<p>On the basis of the above the consensus of the screening group is that the proposed aquaculture is not likely to have significant effects on the environment and that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required for this project.</p>		
<p>EIA SCREENING GROUP COMPRISES OF MEMBERS FROM: THE MARINE INSTITUTE THE DEPARTMENT'S MARINE ENGINEERING DIVISION BORD IASCAIGH MHARA REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEPARTMENT'S AQUACULTURE AND FORESHORE MANAGEMENT DIVISION</p>	<p><i>Dated 15/3/17 y</i></p>	